

PREFERRED OPTIONS 2 –SEPTEMBER 2008 – SCHEDULE OF PREFERRED SITES

Site ref – This includes the reference number for each site as well as the map number, which is included in the Site Specific Proposals DPD. If the site is close to the S Cambs borders it is marked with an asterisk.

P/NP – This refers to whether the site was a preferred (P) or non-preferred (NP) site in the earlier consultation.

S/O – This is whether the preferred option is being supported or objected to

Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
			P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
SS1-55 Map M2	Needingworth	Sand and gravel extraction SSP1B	NP	<p>Site is not preferred for extraction but has been safeguarded for future supply of sand and gravel.</p> <p>Support the County Council's rejection of the site for extraction given it is so close to Willingham and Over.</p> <p>The site is however a preferred site for safeguarding future supplies of sand and gravel.</p> <p>The site boundary has been amended from the issues and option stage in June 2005. The area to be safeguarded has almost doubled in size and now extends further to the east so that it is much nearer to Willingham. In June 2005 the Council was concerned at the impact on Willingham and Over of the proposal. It</p>	O	<p>This site is now a preferred site and has the same boundaries as the safeguarding site, which was identified in the previous consultation in December 2006.</p> <p>In the Site Specific Proposals DPD it states " Phasing will need to be considered for this site as it is seen as a long-term extension to the existing quarry. "</p> <p>The approximate timetable for extraction is included in the MWSSP - Extraction is expected to commence in 2010 and last for approximately 3 years.</p> <p>The evaluation of the site in the draft Site Specific Proposals DPD states - "Amenity issues need to be considered</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
				<p>would bring extraction closer to both villages and would need careful mitigation. .</p> <p>Environmental Health comment: that this site is within 1km of Willingham, Therefore dust, noise, issues would need to be assessed to ensure appropriate mitigation measures were adopted to minimise impact on sensitive properties.</p> <p>Conservation comments: Future extraction planned together with mitigation to retain and strengthen strong edges and field patterns along Long Lane, The Pound County Wildlife Site, Hither Way, Furtherford, Middle Way, Further Way and Lords Ground. Mitigation needed south of the pound to reduce impact to buildings on Long Lane, Over. Mitigation needed north of Highgate farm to reduce impact to buildings on Over road, Willingham.</p> <p>No objection provided that buffer zones can be provided to ensure that appropriate separation and protection for Willingham and Over is provided and that mineral continues to be removed from the site via Needingworth.</p>		<p>given the site's proximity to outlying residential dwellings associated with Over and Willingham."</p> <p>The Council is concerned that this site is now being identified as a preferred site especially given its proximity to Willingham and Over. Also the traffic implications of addition lorries having to use the existing road network. Given that the proposed housing growth which will need the resource is in and around Cambridge these vehicles are likely to be travelling southwards through the settlement of Willingham which will further emphasis the need for a bypass for this settlement.</p> <p>Natural England has identified this site as one that a full appropriate assessment under the Habitat Regulations Assessment will have to be undertaken.</p> <p>Environmental Health comment: Confirm comments made in 2006. This site is within 1km of Willingham and there are sensitive receptors within 200</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
						<p>metres of the preferred site. Therefore dust, noise, issues would need to be assessed to ensure appropriate mitigation measures could be adopted to minimise impact on sensitive properties. Given the proximity of some properties in Over and Willingham more information would need to be provided before an informed decision could be made about this site.</p> <p>Object to the allocation until such time as more detailed information is provided on the full environmental impact of the site now being identified for extraction. Until this information is available it is difficult to evaluate this site.</p> <p>The settlements of Willingham and Over are very close to the proposed site and the Council would need to be assured that the mitigation measure identified by Environmental Health and Conservation could be adopted to minimise impact on sensitive</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
						<p>receptors.</p> <p>Minerals would need to continue to be removed from the site via Needingworth and the need for a bypass for Willingham would be further reaffirmed.</p>
SS1-56 Map M3	Cottenham/ Landbeach	<p>Strategic allocation for sand and gravel CS2 B</p> <p>Sand and gravel extraction SSP1C</p>	P	<p>Site one- Preferred for extraction This site is the same boundary as consulted on in the Issues and options consultation in June 2005. This would be largely a continuation of existing quarry north of Landbeach.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: There are a number of farmhouses within 200m of the site so there could be a potential impact on these properties in terms of noise and dust. The impact on residents should be assessed further and appropriate measures taken to mitigate the effects if necessary.</p> <p>Conservation comments: There would have to be mitigation and strengthening of field patterns along B1049 to link Twenty Pence Pit, Bean Ditch and river corridor. Measures</p>	O	<p>In the previous consultation this was considered as two separate sites- site one was identified for sand and gravel extraction and site two was safeguarded for future sand and gravel. These two sites have now been joined as a Strategic allocation for sand and gravel extraction. This is to provide a long-term reserve and will need to be phased over the plan period. The approximate timetable indicated in the MWSSP is that extraction is expected to commence around 2014 and last for approximately 15years.</p> <p>This larger scale of operation could potentially generate increased traffic and the Council would want this mineral traffic to be kept away from the B roads</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
				<p>would be needed to protect and enhance to reduce impact on Cottenham Load corridor. Also there would need to be mitigation and enhancement to reduce impact on river Great Ouse corridor.</p> <p>No objection provided that mineral traffic is routed via the A10 and subject to measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health and Conservation.</p> <p>Site two – Preferred for safeguarding This site adjoins the preferred option for extracting sand and gravel so although detached from the existing quarry is next to what will be quarried. Although relatively remote from settlements, it raises the issue of how materials would be transported. It would not be acceptable through Cottenham village. This issue would need to be resolved before the site is safeguarded.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: There are a number of sensitive receptors adjacent to the site; residential development including a travellers' site is in close proximity to the area</p>		<p>and onto the A10. The likely additional traffic movements should not adversely affect the residents of Cottenham or the Travellers on the nearby Smithy Fen.</p> <p>There are a number of sensitive receptors very close to the proposed site and the Council is concerned at the expansion of the allocated site for extraction.</p> <p>Natural England has identified this site as one that a full appropriate assessment under the Habitat Regulations Assessment will have to be undertaken.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments to site one: Confirms comments made in 2006. There are a number of farmhouses within 200m of the site so there could be a potential impact on these properties in terms of noise and dust. The impact on residents should be assessed further and appropriate measures taken to mitigate the effects if necessary.</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
				<p>identified. Without details of vulnerable receptors and an environmental and health impact assessment it is difficult to evaluate this site.</p> <p>Conservation comments: Mitigation of impact and enhancement of Cottenham lode and Great Ouse corridor County Wildlife Site. Mitigation and enhancement of Setchel Drove. Protection of Bullocks Haste Common and Roman Canal. Protection and Enhancement of Lakes and ponds at Twenty Pence Road.</p> <p>No objection provided that mineral traffic is routed via the A10 and subject to measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health and Conservation.</p>		<p>Environmental Health comments to Site two Confirm comments made in 2006. There are a number of sensitive receptors adjacent to the site; residential development including a travellers' site is in close proximity to the area identified. Without details of vulnerable receptors and an environmental and health impact assessment it is difficult to evaluate this site.</p> <p>Object to the allocation until such time as more detailed information is provided on the full environmental impact of the larger site now being identified for extraction. Until this information is available it is difficult to evaluate this site.</p> <p>The Council would need to be assured that the mitigation measure identified by Environmental Health and Conservation could be adopted to minimise impact on sensitive</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
						receptors.
SS1-8 Map M12 Map T1	Barrington	Strategic allocation for chalk marl CS21 A Strategic allocation for Transport Protection Zones CS21. Chalk marl extraction SSP04A Sustainable Transport Protection Zone SSP17	P	<p>The Council in June 2005 at the Issues and options consultation had been concerned about the size of the extension to the quarry and had requested that the proposal be reduced in size. The preferred site is considerably smaller now. The mineral safeguarding area is also considerably smaller being just around the existing quarry and the smaller extension site.</p> <p>In the MWDP the Barrington Cement Works Railhead has been designated as a Sustainable Transport Protection Zone (Policy SSP 16) in order that the in future consideration can be given to transporting minerals by rail. This is to be welcomed.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: The impact of operations in this area would need to be assessed for noise, dust and vibration on the health of residents.</p> <p>There is great local concern over the proposals to increase capacity at this site. Any such decision should only be made in the light of a full Health Impact and Environmental</p>	S	<p>The site is the same as was considered in December 2006. The site is identified as a strategic allocation in the MWCS</p> <p>The transport protection zone is also the same boundary and this has been identified as a Strategic allocation in the MWCS.</p> <p>Natural England has identified this site as one that a full appropriate assessment under the Habitat Regulations Assessment will have to be undertaken.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: Amended comments from 2006 The operations in this area would need to be assessed for the impact of noise, dust and vibration on the health and amenity of residents.</p> <p>There is great local concern over the proposals to increase capacity at this site. Any such decision should only be</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
				<p>Assessment with full public consultation at every stage.</p> <p>Conservation comments: The impact on landscape character is also likely to be an issue in considering extensions to the quarry. Would need to protect and retain strong boundary planting to the North and strengthen planting to the east. Also strengthen off site planting along Whale Way.</p> <p>The nearby road verge and possibly habitats in the site are used for foraging by at least one barn owl that is now regularly seen. The small copses are used as breeding display areas by buzzards that have recently returned to the district. It is probable that buzzards breed in the woodland nearby.</p> <p>No objection subject to measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health and Conservation.</p>		<p>made in the light of a full Health Impact and Environmental Assessment with full public consultation at every stage.</p> <p>No objection subject to measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health and Conservation.</p>
SS1-21 Map M14	Station Quarry, Steeple Morden	Specialist mineral extraction SSP7	P	<p>The site is the same as was consulted on in Issues and options 2 in January 2006. It is understood that this is a rare type of chalk. The proposal is an extension to an existing site. Whilst recognising that in view of its rare nature, it can only be worked where it exists,</p>	S	<p>The site boundary remains the same as was consulted on previously in December 2006.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: Amended comments from 2006. There</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
				<p>there are reservations in view of potential countryside impact. If this site were pursued it is essential that appropriate mitigation be provided.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: there are several properties located around the site. Without details of vulnerable receptors and an environmental and health impact assessment it is difficult to evaluate this site. A number of farmhouses are within 300m of the site so there could be a potential impact on these properties in terms of noise and dust. The impact on residents should be assessed further and appropriate measures taken to mitigate the effects if necessary.</p> <p>Conservation comments: If the site were used there would have to be protection and enhancement of southern edge of Morden Grange Plantation. Protection and Enhancement of the Bell Barrow to the south of the site. Mitigation including strengthening of planting on existing track linking chalk pits to reduce impact of open views from Station Road. Mitigation including strengthening planting along footpath to Morden Grange farm.</p>		<p>are several properties located around the site. A number of farmhouses are within 300m of the site so there could be a potential impact on these properties in terms of noise and dust. The impact on residents should be assessed further and appropriate measures taken to mitigate the effects if necessary.</p> <p>No objection subject to measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health and Conservation.</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
				No objection subject to measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health and Conservation.		
SS4-5 Map W5	Cottenham Business Centre, Cottenham	Core Strategy Strategic allocation for a location of hazardous waste facilities (waste oil and fuels) CS17 Preferred site-specific options for waste recycling and recovery facilities- Specialist - Hazardous waste dealing with waste oils and fuel. SSP10-W05	P	This site was put forward in the issues and options in June 2005 as a potential waste management site – no mention was made of hazardous waste issues. Environmental Health comment: This is a proposed extension to an existing facility but it is within 100m of residential premises therefore noise/pollution impact would need to be assessed. Conservation comments: Proposal removes large areas of scrub and wildlife cover in a very open area and is directly adjacent to Cottenham Lode with potential for pollution. It is probably reasonable to assume that great crested newts might be present associated with the open water habitats due to their general presence in parts of Cottenham. On the basis of the advice from Environmental Health and Conservation that there is insufficient information to	?	Same site as was proposed in previous consultation in December 2006 The site is identified in the Core Strategy as a strategic allocation for a hazardous waste facility. This is the extension to an existing facility - Malary Environmental . Natural England has identified this site as one that a full appropriate assessment under the Habitat Regulations Assessment will have to be undertaken. Environmental Health comment: Amended comments from 2006. This is a proposed extension to an existing facility but it is within 100m of residential premises therefore noise/pollution and environmental impact would need to be assessed. The existing facility has generated some

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
				object or support to this proposal		<p>concern amongst local residents. The Council would support the proposed extension if environmental improvements were part of this future scheme.</p> <p>No objection subject to measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health and Conservation. The proposed site could take the opportunity to introduce best practice and should have environmental improvements as part of the proposed extension to the existing facility.</p>
SS4-91 Map W7	Northstowe Area 2	<p>Core Strategy Strategic allocation for a recycling centre CS14F</p> <p>Preferred site-specific options for waste recycling and</p>	P	<p>This is the continuation of a site allocation in the Waste Local Plan 2003. The allocation is for an area of search.</p> <p>In the Northstowe Area Action Plan it is suggested that an HWRC and bulking up facility be located on employment land within the new settlement. This allocation could therefore be more specific.</p> <p>Potential uses for site include -</p>	S	<p>Two sites are included for Northstowe.</p> <p>Area 1 is the area of search included in the Preferred options 1 consultation stage in December 2006 and is considered separately in this schedule.</p> <p>Area 2 is a preferred area of search for a local recycling centre. The boundaries reflect the current Masterplan and show the facility in the proposed employment area of the mixed-use scheme. This is</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
		recovery facilities - Local Recycling Centre SSP10-W08		<p>HWRC (& Bulking up transfer facility) Suitable for new waste management technologies</p> <p>In the potential uses there is not listed a temporary waste management facility for construction. This should be added.</p> <p>Environmental Health comment; There is the potential for a Combined Heat and Power plant at Northstowe and this should be included in the list of preferred uses. As this is a new development it would be possible to ensure minimal environmental impact at the design stage</p> <p>Northstowe should be included in Table 5 of the Site Specific DPD under the heading 'Energy from Waste facilities (if Waste Scenario 3 is developed) in order to allow for such a facility in the new settlement.</p> <p>Support in principle but object to the inclusion of the proposed Green Separation within the area of search. Northstowe will be a new town and it is</p>		<p>to be welcomed although it needs to be noted that the Masterplan has not been approved.</p> <p>Area 1 for Northstowe is listed as being the preferred site for an inert recycling facility – See comments in next Northstowe section below.</p> <p>The site is not identified in the table accompanying Preferred Option SSP10 as one where energy from waste could be considered.</p> <p>Environmental Health comment; Amended comments from 2006. There is the potential for a Combined Heat and Power plant at Northstowe and Northstowe should be included as a site suitable for a facility for energy from waste.</p> <p>It should be possible to ensure minimal environmental impact at the design stage.</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
				likely that whatever the strategy for household waste recycling that a site at Northstowe would be appropriate. The Northstowe Area Action acknowledges the suitability of a proposed general employment area at the northern end of the new town site (adjoining the proposed Park & Ride site). Also forward the comments on Energy from Waste.		<p>Noise and odour assessment for any recycling facility will be required and mitigation provided to protect amenity of existing and future residents of Northstowe.</p> <p>Support in principle subject to the measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health . The Northstowe Area Action acknowledges the suitability of a proposed general employment area at the northern end of the new town site (adjoining the proposed Park & Ride site).</p> <p>Also Northstowe should be considered for a facility for Energy from Waste under Preferred Option SSP10.</p>
SS4-8A Map W31	Northstowe	Preferred site-specific options for waste recycling and	P	See previous comments on Northstowe	S	<p>This is the same area of search as was consulted upon in December 2006</p> <p>It is to be welcomed that Northstowe is the preferred site for a temporary inert</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
		recovery facilities Temporary Inert Waste Recycling Preferred option CS25-Waste Minimisation and Resource Recovery.				waste recycling facility. There is no indication of the potential scale of the facility. It should be noted that as the different phases of Northstowe come forward for development it might be that a number of small recycling facility may be more appropriate than one large one. The facility should not located so that it impacts upon the existing communities of Longstanton, Oakington or Rampton Drift. New: Environmental Health comment; Support temporary inert waste recycling to accommodate construction waste from Northstowe. This should help minimise vehicle movements. However noise, odour and dust must be assessed and appropriate mitigation taken to protect existing residents in the area and the new residents of Northstowe, as the phased development progresses.

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
						<p>This may include, appropriate buffer zones in conjunction with sensitive design and mitigation of site operations.</p> <p>Recommend that location of temporary inert sites should change location as phased development progresses to minimise adverse impact.</p> <p>Support in principle recognising that it may be more appropriate to have a number of smaller sites to serve the development of Northstowe. Support would be subject to the measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health.</p> <p>The facility should not be located so that it impacts upon the existing communities of Longstanton, Oakington or Rampton Drift.</p>
SS4-8B Map W8	Cambridge Northern Fringe, Area of Search	Core Strategy Strategic allocation for a local recycling centre.	P	<p>This is the continuation of a site allocation in the Waste Local Plan 2003.</p> <p>The allocation is an area of search</p> <p>Potential uses for site include</p>		<p>This is still the preferred area of search for waste recycling and recovery as was consulted on in December 2006, although the boundaries of the site have been increased to take account of the</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
		CS14 B Sustainable Transport Protection Zones CS21 C SSP17 Preferred site-specific options for waste recycling and recovery facilities. - Local Recycling Centre and Inert Waste recycling SSP10-W08		<p>Mixed waste stream recycling; Single stream recycling HWRC and bulking up transfer facility Suitable for new waste management technologies</p> <p>In the potential uses there is not listed a temporary waste management facility for construction. This should be added.</p> <p>Environmental Health comment: As this is a new development consider that it would be possible to ensure minimal environmental impact at the design stage by incorporating mitigation measures into the development.</p> <p>Conservation comment: Potential pollution into drain flowing into Cam. Limited space for landscape buffer.</p> <p>Object. It is premature in advance of the development of a strategy for waste management to support the possibility of providing a Household Waste Recycling Facility in the Cambridge Northern Fringe, which will be a relatively small, but high-density development. However, the County Councils Supplementary Planning</p>		<p>Park and Ride site being located to Milton. The area of search is therefore bigger than that shown as part of the previous consultation. The type of waste facility would be a Recycling Centre.</p> <p>There is a second proposal for a recycling facility for inert industrial and construction industry waste. There is already a temporary facility operating on the northern fringe, and a permanent facility is being proposed</p> <p>In the earlier consultation in December 2006 consideration was given to relocating the Waste Water Treatment Works from this northern fringe area. A study found this to be unfeasible</p> <p>This area also has been included as a Strategic allocation in the MWCS under Policy CS21C as a Sustainable Transport Protection Zone (aggregates railhead) and under Policy SSP17 in the Site Specific Proposals DPD. In this later DPD it states, " It is recognised that whilst including the aggregates railhead in Cambridge Northern Fringe</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
				<p>Document "The Location and design of Major Waste Management Facilities" 2006 shows that such facilities can be planned into urban extensions.</p>		<p>redevelopment proposals for the area may mean that the location of this facility may change. Whilst this may be the case, it is essential to ensure that this vital facility that the serves the Cambridge area is not lost, particularly given the growth that is anticipated in the immediate area."</p> <p>The Council supports the safeguarding in the short term of the railhead for aggregates given the needs of the A14 improvements but in the longer term such a use may not be appropriate for this site.</p> <p>The site is within both within S Cambs and Cambridge City. It is intended that a new plan be prepared jointly by S Cambs; Cambridge City and the County Council for this area.</p> <p>Environmental Health comment: Confirm comments from 2006. As this is a new development consider that it would be possible to ensure minimal environmental impact at the design stage by incorporating mitigation</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
						<p>measures into the development.</p> <p>Support in principle the use of the site for a Recycling Centre and for inert waste recycling subject to the measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health.</p> <p>The Council welcomes the safeguarding in the short term of the railhead for aggregates given the needs of the A14 improvements but in the longer term such a use may not be appropriate for this site.</p>
SS4-8C Map W9	Cambridge East Area of Search -	Strategic Allocation in Core Strategy for a commercial resource recovery and recycling facility CS13A; and Recycling centre CS14A	P NP	<p><u>Site one-</u> This is one part of Cambridge East, which was considered as a whole in June 2005. This part is to the south of the Newmarket Road.</p> <p><u>Site two</u> The land to the north of the Newmarket Road is a non-preferred site for a recycling centre.</p> <p>Site one – The land to the south is an area of search.</p> <p>Potential uses for site include</p>	S	<p>Sites one and two that were considered separately in December 2006 are now joined so the site being considered is that of the Cambridge East Area Action Plan (AAP)</p> <p>The site is being considered for three waste management facilities– a Commercial resource and recovery recycling facility where collected recyclable waste will be sorted – this is to serve the Cambridge area; a local recycling facility for domestic users and</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
		<p>Preferred site-specific options for waste recycling and recovery facilities.</p> <p>Recycling Facility; Local Recycling Centre and Temporary Inert Waste Recycling</p> <p>SSP10-W09</p>		<p>Mixed waste stream recycling; Single stream recycling HWRC and bulking up transfer facility Suitable for new waste management technologies Temporary Waste Management Facility for construction/ demolition waste</p> <p>At the previous consultation stage the Council stated the following</p> <p>“This area forms the major part of a high-density urban extension to Cambridge, as proposed in the Structure Plan and the Cambridge East Area Action Plan. Whilst accepting the principle of providing waste facilities in close proximity to where waste arises, the difficulties in providing a waste facility here in an appropriate way should not be underestimated because of the high density nature of the development and the fact that there are no proposals for a general employment area where waste facilities would normally be located.</p> <p>Employment at Cambridge East is to be</p>		<p>a temporary inert recycling for use as the area is developed.</p> <p>The Site Specific Proposals DPD states –“ Could be located in land suitable for employment / mixed uses, to be determined by masterplanning stage of the redevelopment. Needs to have good access to the local road network for HCV movements. Needs to be located in an area where access is not through residential development but by main distributor roads. Facility to be designed in accordance with SPD* and should be an enclosed facility. Area of search has been modified to exclude the green wedge and show the area of land published in the Area Action Plan for Cambridge East”.</p> <p>The Location and Design of Major Waste management facilities SPD is the one being referred to.</p> <p>The commercial resource and recovery recycling facility and the local recycling centre must have regard to the fact that it is proposed that this area will be developed at a high density. The</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
				<p>integrated into mixed-use developments, particularly in the district and local centres. It is not considered that a major waste management facility would be appropriate in such mixed-use areas. Whilst it is recognised that modern waste management facilities are very different from older operations, they nonetheless involve significant levels of heavy traffic and have some issues of noise, dust, and odours and in principle are not good neighbours to be placed in close proximity to residential uses. This will cause significant difficulties in identifying a suitable site for a major waste management facility, without taking large areas of land from other forms of development for both the facility itself and the amount of landscaping that would be required to act as a buffer to other uses in the new urban quarter. The incorporation of a household waste recycling centre to serve the urban quarter would be easier to accommodate and would be appropriately located in the development.</p> <p>It should be made clear that this location would</p>		<p>Council support the comments made by the County as to the considerations of where and how the impact of these uses can be reduced by good design and location.</p> <p>It is to be welcomed that Cambridge East is the preferred site for a temporary inert waste recycling facility. There is no indication of the potential scale of the facility. It should be noted that as the different phases of Cambridge East come forward for development it might be that a number of small recycling facility may be more appropriate than one large one.</p> <p>The facility should not locate so that it impacts upon the existing communities within Cambridge and the villages of Fen Ditton and Teversham.</p> <p>Environmental health comments to site one: Confirm comments made in 2006. Stated in January 2006 that there is a potential conflict with the proposal for large-scale residential development in close proximity to this site. The</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
				<p>only be possible with the relocation of the Airport. The identification of such a large area of search is not helpful”</p> <p>Environmental health comments: Stated in January 2006 that there is a potential conflict with the proposal for large-scale residential development in close proximity to this site. The proposal should be subject to an environmental and health impact assessment. These comments still remain valid but have been added to - that as this is a new development it would be possible to ensure minimal environmental impact at the design stage by incorporating mitigation measures into the development.</p> <p>Conservation comment: Potential impacts on large areas of housing. Potential impacts on Cherry Hinton Brook and Coldhams Common. Potential impacts on view of City edge. Heavy traffic from Cambridge</p> <p>Support site one. Cambridge East will be the largest single development in the Cambridge Sub-Region over the next 15 years. To be planned at high densities, whatever the overall strategy for waste management the opportunity to make the</p>		<p>proposal should be subject to an environmental and health impact assessment. These comments still remain valid but have been added to –</p> <p>As this is a new development it would be possible to ensure minimal environmental impact at the design stage by incorporating mitigation measures into the development.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments for site two: Confirm comments made in 2006. In January 2006 it was said that there is a potential conflict with the proposal for large-scale residential development in close proximity to this site. The proposal should be subject to an environmental and health impact assessment.</p> <p>As this is a new development consider that it would be possible to ensure minimal environmental impact at the design stage by incorporating mitigation measures into the development.</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
				<p>development more sustainable by providing Household Waste Recycling Facilities on site is supported.</p> <p>Site two The non-preferred site - land to the north of the Newmarket Road is the same as proposed in the Issues and options consultation in January 2006 and the Council at that time stated – “The Submission Draft Cambridge East Area Action Plan identifies Phase 1 of development on land north of Newmarket Road, which can come forward with the Airport still operational. The AAP identifies the considerable challenge that exists in creating a satisfactory residential neighbourhood ahead of the wider development and specifically adjoining the North Works site, and the relocation of some existing employment uses will be important to help provide a suitable residential environment. There will be no general employment area in Phase 1, which is the sort of location that a waste management facility could potentially be accommodated.</p>		<p>Support the uses being proposed for the site. - Cambridge East will be the largest single development in the Cambridge Sub-Region over the next 15 years and it is to be planned at high densities and therefore the location and design of the proposed waste management facilities must have regard to this. Support would be subject to consideration of the matters raised by Environmental Health.</p> <p>The inert recycling facility is supported however it should be recognised that it may be more appropriate to have a number of smaller sites to serve the development of Cambridge East. Support would be subject to the measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health.</p> <p>The facilities should not be located so that they impact upon the existing communities within Cambridge and the villages of Fen Ditton and Teversham particularly the temporary</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
				<p>It would not be appropriate to locate a household waste recycling centre or transfer facility in Phase 1 in principle. It would significantly undermine the ability to create a successful residential area. This relates both to the nature and scale of the use and the type and level of traffic generation that would be created into an area with a single traffic access.</p> <p>The specific site proposed in the consultation document compounds these problems by completely taking up the limited frontage that exists to Newmarket Road between the car showrooms and the employment uses adjacent to the Park and Ride site. The Area Action Plan makes clear that the limited extent of this frontage will require a very careful design approach to provide an appropriate face to the development and to enable it to integrate successfully with development south of Newmarket Road in the longer term.</p> <p>It appears that this objection is very much opportunity led in view of the County Council's</p>		inert recycling facility.

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
				<p>concern that there is an urgent need for a facility, rather than the good planning of this major new urban quarter. Achieving a high quality neighbourhood will be crucial to achieving a successful new development in the longer term and this proposal would seriously damage the ability for this to be achieved.”</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: In January it was said that there is a potential conflict with the proposal for large-scale residential development in close proximity to this site. The proposal should be subject to an environmental and health impact assessment.</p> <p>The Council welcomes that site two is not a preferred option.</p>		
SSP-18 Map W16	Great Wilbraham Quarry, Great Wilbraham	Preferred site-specific options for waste recycling and recovery facilities.		<p>This is the continuation of a site allocation in the Waste Local Plan 2003. Access to the site is currently poor.</p> <p>Potential uses for site include Inert waste recycling Suitable for new waste management</p>	O	<p>This is the same boundary and site as was consulted upon in December 2006. Access to this site has been improved since this site was last considered with new slip roads onto the A 11.</p> <p>In the evaluation of this site the County</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
		Inert Waste Recycling and Inert Landfill SSP10-W16 SSP11		<p>technologies</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: There is a question mark at the use of this site for landfill. The Environment Agency have highlighted that this site is close to a source protection zone on the chalk aquifer. There is therefore a potential for contamination to groundwater. The nearest residential is within 500 metres of the site therefore noise and dust impacts should be evaluated.</p> <p>Conservation comments: Presently very poor access but this will be improved if the Camgrain facility is built next year in the adjacent field. Substantial landscaping required to integrate the facility into a very open landscape.</p> <p>Object. It is premature in advance of the development of a strategy for inert waste management to support the use of this site at Great Wilbraham. Much of the inert waste that is likely to be generated will arise from development, which is located in and on the edge of Cambridge or to the north of the city.</p>		<p>Council has stated that," The Environment Agency has advised that the site is situated in a highly sensitive chalk aquifer.....stringent environmental risk assessment will need to be carried out and required levels of protection for an aquifer are likely to be high."</p> <p>The Council remains concerned that this use has been located at a distance from where such waste is likely to be generated. Ie in the areas located in and on the edge of Cambridge or north of the city. The identification of this as a preferred site would appear to be encouraging the transporting of waste along unsuitable rural roads. The lorries would have to go through Fulbourn which is not an acceptable route . The Council would question the strategy devised for location of inert waste facilities if this site has been identified as a preferred location.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: Confirm comments made in 2006. There is a question mark at the use of this site</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
						<p>for landfill. The Environment Agency have highlighted that this site is close to a source protection zone on the chalk aquifer. There is therefore a potential for contamination to groundwater. The nearest residential is within 500 metres of the site therefore noise and dust impacts should be evaluated.</p> <p>Object. The identification of this site as preferred for inert waste recycling and landfill is of concern to the Council. The strategy for inert waste facilities must be questioned by this Council if this is site is the best one to serve the Cambridge area. Much of the inert waste that is likely to be generated will arise from development, which is located in and on the edge of Cambridge or to the north of the city.</p> <p>Whilst accepting that a study has been carried out by the County looking for suitable additional sites for waste facilities this site would need to take into account the concerns of Environmental Health</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
						and Conservation if it is to be allocated as an inert recycling and landfill site.
SS4-87 Map W17	Bridgefoot Quarry, Flint Cross	Preferred site-specific options for waste recycling and recovery facilities. Inert waste recycling SSP10-W17	P	<p>The site boundaries are the same as was consulted in January 2006 Issues and options 1. However at this consultation no mention was made of inert waste recycling. There are concerns about the access to the site from the A505.</p> <p>Potential uses for site include Inert waste recycling Suitable for new waste management technologies</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: In the issues and options consultation in January 2006 it was stated that there is a private water supply close to this site and potentially sensitive receptors that could be affected by noise from the proposed facility. Mitigation measures or noise conditions could be used to prevent any issues arising. These previous comments continue to apply; further information available is that current works on site operate within acceptable levels, as plant is located at the bottom of the void.</p>	O	<p>This is a larger site than was consulted upon in December 2006.</p> <p>The Council is concerned at the access to this site and at the likely traffic that will be generated locally by this use on the site. The assessment of the site by the County Council highlights that there is an accident cluster at the A505/ Flint Cross junction.</p> <p>The site is located some distance from where the inert waste is likely to be generated i.e. from development which is located in and on the edge of Cambridge or to the north of the city. The lorries carrying the waste will travel along major roads to this site and the Council is concerned at the additional traffic generated. Inert waste should be dealt with in locations closer to where it is generated. The fact that this site is proposed as a preferred site after a strategy for inert waste recycling has been produced is of concern to the</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
				<p>Object. It is premature in advance of the development of a strategy for inert waste management to support the use of this site at Flint Cross. Much of the inert waste that is likely to be generated will arise from development which is located in and on the edge of Cambridge or to the north of the city.</p>		<p>Council.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: Confirm comments made in 2006. In the issues and options consultation in January 2006 it was stated that there is a private water supply close to this site and potentially sensitive receptors that could be affected by noise from the proposed facility. Mitigation measures or noise conditions could be used to prevent any issues arising. These previous comments continue to apply; further information available is that current works on site operate within acceptable levels, as plant is located at the bottom of the void.</p> <p>Object. The identification of this site as preferred for inert waste recycling and landfill is of concern to the Council. The strategy for inert waste facilities must be questioned by this Council if this is site is the best one to serve the Cambridge area. Much of the inert waste that is likely to be generated will arise from</p>

Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
			P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
						<p>development, which is located in and on the edge of Cambridge or to the north of the city.</p> <p>Whilst accepting that a study has been carried out by the County looking for suitable additional sites for waste facilities this site would need to take into account the concerns of Environmental Health and Conservation if it is to be allocated as an inert recycling and landfill site.</p>
SS4-34 Map W21	Addenbrookes Hospital , Cambridge	Core Strategy Strategic allocation for a location of hazardous waste facilities (for a replacement clinical waste facility) CS17 Preferred site-specific	P	<p>The site is an area of search that is not within S Cambs boundaries.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: An environmental impact assessment should be carried out to ensure potential emissions are not detrimental to public health.</p> <p>Support. This waste management facility is based on the Hospital's needs and can be supported whatever the overall strategy for waste management. Support also for the proposals for energy from waste subject to an environmental health impact</p>		<p>The site is the same as was consulted upon in December 2006.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: Confirm comments made in 2006. An environmental impact assessment should be carried out to ensure potential emissions are not detrimental to public health.</p> <p>Support. This waste management facility is based on the Hospital's needs and can be supported.</p>

Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
			P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
		options for waste recycling and recovery facilities. Specialist – replacement of clinical waste facility. SSP10-W21		assessment.		
SS4-80 Map W26	Extension of Waste Management Park, Waterbeach	Preferred site-specific options for waste recycling and recovery facilities. Recycling facility; Energy for waste; Composting and Inert waste recycling SSP10-W26			S	<p>This site was not included in the previous consultations although the site was safeguarded for a waste use in December 2006. The proposed extension was received from the operator as part of the consultation in December 2006 – preferred options 1.</p> <p>This is a preferred site for waste recycling and recovery and extends the area of the Waste Management Park, which is an existing allocation within the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Waste Local Plan.</p> <p>The site is also identified as one where a facility for Energy from Waste could be</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
		<p>Strategic allocation for recycled and secondary aggregates CS5 C</p> <p>Strategic allocation for future waste management facilities – commercial resource recovery and recycling facilities. CS13F</p>				<p>located. This use would be compatible with the other waste management facility uses proposed for this site.</p> <p>The draft Core Strategy DPD has included this site as a Strategic Allocation for Recycled and Secondary Aggregates;</p> <p>It should be noted that Denny Abbey, which is a scheduled ancient monument, is located in close proximity to this facility and further extensions of the waste park should take this into account.</p> <p>New Environmental Health Comments: There are a number of residential premises at Denny Abbey Cottages immediately off the A10 to the East.</p> <p>Noise, dust, odour and bioaerosol generation have the potential to have an adverse impact on amenity, health and quality of life.</p> <p>The significance of impact / effect</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
						<p>should be assessed by undertaking Health and Environmental Impact Assessments, respectively.</p> <p>Air quality should also be considered in terms of any proposed energy from waste facility.</p> <p>No objection in principle.</p> <p>Appropriate buffer zones will be required in conjunction with careful design / layout of site operations and specific mitigation / abatement measures to minimise impact and to protect health and wellbeing of residents.</p> <p>No objection subject to measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health. The Council supports the identification of the site for a range of waste management facilities.</p>
SS4-96 Map	South of Addenbrookes Access Road	Preferred site-specific options for				This site was not included in the previous consultations. The preferred site for a recycling centre in Cambridge

Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
			P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
W27		<p>waste recycling and recovery facilities</p> <p>Local recycling Centre</p> <p>SSP10-W27</p> <p>Core Strategy Strategic allocation for a recycling centre CS14C</p>				<p>Southern Fringe in the previous consultation in December was the Bayer Crop Science site in Hauxton. Following this consultation a number of suggestions were made near the M11 area of Cambridge as alternatives to this proposal. This site was one of many considered as an alternative to the Hauxton site. Subject to reduced site boundaries this is the preferred area of search for a recycling centre in Cambridge Southern Fringe.</p> <p>The County has provided additional information on the impact of this facility on the surrounding area and has stated the following –</p> <p>‘Any site would be extensively landscaped which would greatly reduce any negative visual impact</p> <p>The operations would be enclosed which would control local environmental impacts such as windblown litter, noise, dust, odours and light</p> <p>The site would be large enough to</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
						<p>manage traffic on site which would reduce the potential for congestion on local roads, and the bulk of activity connected with the site would take place outside peak hours. The only vehicles accessing the site would be cars/vans owned by local residents and a small number of lorries servicing the site (approximately 14 lorries per day). This site would not be used by the City Council's refuse and recycling kerbside vehicles `</p> <p>New Environmental Health Comments: Proposed site and facilities will be to the South of the Glebe Farm development. Possible conflict.</p> <p>Noise and possibly odour may have an adverse impact.</p> <p>Appropriate buffer zones will be required in conjunction with careful design / layout of site operations and specific mitigation / abatement measures to minimise impact and to protect health and wellbeing of</p>

			Previous Preferred Options Consultation 2006		Current Preferred Options Consultation 2008	
Site ref	Name	Policy ref and number	P/NP	Summary of S. Cambs DC's comments	S/O	S. Cambs DC Proposed Response
						<p>residents.</p> <p>As this is a new development it should be possible to ensure minimal environmental impact at the design stage by incorporating intrinsic mitigation measures.</p> <p>No objection subject to measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health. The Council supports the identification of the site for a recycling centre and welcomes the additional information provided by the County as regards how the facility will be designed to reduce its impact on the surrounding area.</p>